

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                     | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/648,908                          | 08/25/2000      | Lester B. Shupe      | 1720/USW1720PUS         | 6969             |
| 20350                               | 7590 11/10/2003 |                      | EXAMI                   | NER              |
| TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP |                 |                      | D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M     |                  |
| TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834        |                 |                      | 2683                    | <u></u>          |
|                                     |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003 | - 1              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/648,908  | SHUPE ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| naviosi y nodeli  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Stephen M. D'Agosta   | 2683   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) \(\sum_\) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  | , , , , , –   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| <del>-</del>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Н

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment does not disclose novel features over the cited prior art. Sonti teaches all of the claimed limitations but is silent on without prompting by any switching center (which is argued by the applicant). Foti teaches a system whereby a user located inside or outside their home location (abstract, 2nd sentence) can update their service profile by calling (via landline or different mobile phone) the MSC to update their profile. When located WITHIN their home location, the MSC is NOT prompted by any other MSC. It merely makes the profile updates directly (C2, L18-35). Note this same passage ALSO relates to a user outside their home location and requires the MSC to contact the HLR in ANOTHER servicing area (eg. MSC-2). Hence Foti reads on the applicant's claims since the invention DOES NOT require any prompting from a second MSC.

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600